

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Ber 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gev

APPLICATION NO.	F1	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/001,543	10/31/2001		Frank L. Sassaman JR.	352 USF	6420
23774	7590	11/13/2003		EXAMINER	
DOUGLAS G GLANTZ				CINTINS, IVARS C	
ATTORNEY AT LAW 5260 DEBORAH COURT				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DOYLESTOWN, PA 18901				1724	

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/001,543	SASSAMAN ET AL.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ivars C. Cintins	1724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>[PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C		ing date of the inial rejection, even if					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: None.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-14,17-19,21 and 22</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	oved or b) disapproved by t	ne Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:	,	Ivars C. Cintins Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1724					

Application No. 10/001,543

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's attempt to broaden the scope of the claims by deleting: (1) the presence of a CMP unit, (2) the limitation that the stream treated contains the recited concentration of copper ions; and (3) the limitation that the stream contains the recited concentration of solids having the recited size raises new issues requiring further search and consideration.